## Olefin Polymerization Catalyst Derived by Activation of a Neutral Monoalkyl Titanium Complex with an Aminopyrrole Ligand Using Triisobutylaluminum and Trityl Borate

Takahiro Yasumoto, Tsuneaki Yamagata, and Kazushi Mashima\*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University,

Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531

(Received June 11, 2007; CL-070634; E-mail: Mashima@chem.es.osaka-u.ac.jp)

A neutral monocyclopentadienyl titanium monobenzyl complex **3a** bearing an aminopyrrole ligand can be activated by treating with  $Al({}^{i}Bu)_{3}$  and  $[Ph_{3}C][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$  to became a catalyst for olefin polymerization via the methine proton abstraction of  $Al({}^{i}Bu)_{3}$  by  $[Ph_{3}C][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$ .

In the last two decades, the development of homogeneous olefin polymerization catalysts has been remarkable.<sup>1</sup> The catalytically active species with appropriate supporting ligands such as cyclopentadienyl anions and nitrogen-based chelating anions are cationic monoalkyl complexes, and the propagation process involves consecutive monomer insertion into an electrophilic metal-alkyl bond. Methylaluminoxane (MAO) has been utilized as a unique cocatalyst for generating cationic monoalkyl species, functioning in both the methylation of dihalide precatalysts and the abstraction of one of two methyl groups bound to the metal. Moreover, cocatalysts such as  $B(C_6F_5)_3$ ,  $[Ph_3C]$ - $[B(C_6F_5)_4]$ , and  $[NR_3H][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  abstract one of two alkyl groups bound to the metal center to form the corresponding cationic monoalkyl species that can initiate olefin polymerization.<sup>1c</sup> Although neutral monoalkyl complexes of group 3,<sup>2</sup> group 4,<sup>3</sup> and group 10 metals<sup>4</sup> can function as polymerization catalysts without any cocatalysts, in some cases a cocatalyst improves catalytic activity of neutral monoalkyl complexes.<sup>5-8</sup> The mechanism, however, is not known. Herein, we report a new activation route of a neutral monobenzyl titanium complex 3a bearing an aminopyrrole ligand using Al( ${}^{i}Bu$ )<sub>3</sub> and [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] as cocatalysts and its catalytic performance for homopolymerizations of ethylene and 1-hexene.

Scheme 1 shows a synthetic route of monoalkyl titanium complexes 3a and 3b: the reaction of  $Cp^*TiCl_3$  with the dilithium salt of 1 in ether quantitatively afforded a monochloride



Scheme 1. Synthesis of complexes 3.

complex 2, which was treated with PhCH<sub>2</sub>MgCl and MeMgBr to give the corresponding monobenzyl complex 3a and monomethyl complex 3b. The benzyl complex 3a was thermally stable enough to be isolated, while the methyl derivative 3b decomposed during the isolation. The monomeric three-legged piano-stool structure of the complexes 2 and 3 was identified by spectroscopy as well as a single-crystal X-ray analysis for 2.<sup>9</sup> Notable spectral data of 3a is that a benzyl moiety bound to the titanium center adopts  $\eta^1$ -coordination mode as judged from the <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CH</sub> value (125.8 Hz) of the methylene carbon and the chemical shift (149.9 ppm) of ipso-carbon of the phenyl group, which is comparable to the previously reported value for the  $\eta^1$ -coordination benzyl group.

The monochloride complex 2 and the monobenzyl complex 3a were tested as catalyst precursors for ethylene polymerization under various conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 1. The monobenzyl complex 3a showed no activity in the absence of any cocatalysts; however, 3a upon activated by  $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)]$  (1 equiv.) and  $Al(^iBu)_3$  (100 equiv.) had the highest activity for ethylene polymerization (Entry 6), and its activity was higher than that of 2 under the same condition (Entry 1). Both additives are key components to activate the neutral complex **3a** because two catalyst systems,  $3a/Al(iBu)_3$ (Entry 11) and  $3a/[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  (Entry 12), had no activity. The special role of  $Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$  in the activation of **3a** was further supported by following experimental evidences: (i) when AlMe<sub>3</sub> was used instead of  $Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$ , the catalytic activity of complexes 2 and 3a in the presence of  $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  was severely suppressed (Entries 2 and 7); (ii) the catalyst system of 3a/MMAO, which contains Al(<sup>i</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub>, had higher activity than the catalyst 3a/MAO (Entries 8 and 10).

We also found that the catalyst system of complex **3a** with 1 equivalent each of  $Al({}^{i}Bu)_{3}$  and  $[Ph_{3}C][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$  in  $C_{6}H_{5}Cl$  polymerized 1-hexene with moderate activity (34 kg-polymer/mol-cat-h). The molecular weight distribution ( $M_{n} = 5300$ ,  $M_{w}/M_{n} = 2.3$ ) of poly(1-hexene) was narrow enough as a single site catalyst, though the polymer was atactic. When the complex **3a** was treated by  $[Ph_{3}C][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$  without  $Al({}^{i}Bu)_{3}$ , no poly(1-hexene) was obtained.

To further elucidate the function of the combined cocatalyst system Al( ${}^{i}Bu$ )<sub>3</sub> and [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] for activating the precatalyst **3a**, we first attempted to detect species in situ generated by the reaction of **3a** with one equivalent each of Al( ${}^{i}Bu$ )<sub>3</sub> and [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)] without monomer in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>Br at  $-30^{\circ}$ C. Any identifiable species was not detected because the oily catalytically active species was very unstable in the absence of the monomer; however, we detected proton signals due to triphenylmethane and isobutene but no benzyl abstraction product, 1,1,1,2-tetraphenylethane, suggesting that the trityl cation selectively abstracted one methine proton of isobutyl group bound to

Table 1. Ethylene polymerization by the monochloride complex 2 and the monobenzyl complex  $3a^a$ 

Entry	Cat.	Cocatalyst	Time /min	Activity <sup>b</sup>	$M_{\rm w}^{\rm c}$ (10 <sup>5</sup> )
1	2	$[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]/Al(^iBu)_3$	5	48	1.6
2	2	$[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]/AlMe_3$	30	<1	
3	2	MMAO	10	19	
4	2	AlMe <sub>3</sub>	60	trace	
5	2	$Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$	60	trace	
6	3a	$[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]/Al(^{i}Bu)_3$	5	327	2.5
7	3a	$[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]/AlMe_3$	15	trace	
8	3a	MMAO	5	111	d
9	3a	dMMAO	5	135	d
10	3a	MAO	5	60	0.6
11	3a	$[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$	30	0	
12	3a	$Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$	30	<1	
13	3a	$Al(C_6F_5)_3$	30	0	_

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: complex 4.5  $\mu$ mol, ethylene pressure 1 atom, [cat.] = 0.5 mM in touene, temperature = 25 °C, [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] = 4.5  $\mu$ mol, Al(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> = 4.5  $\mu$ mol, AlR<sub>3</sub> 100 equiv., MMAO 1000 equiv. <sup>b</sup>kg-PE/mol-cat·h. <sup>c</sup>Determined by GPC. <sup>d</sup>Not determined because of high molecular weight.





aluminum.<sup>10</sup> On the other hand, the reaction of **3a** with AlMe<sub>3</sub> and  $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  immediately resulted in insoluble oil along with the formation of 1,1,1,2-tetraphenylethane, a product of benzyl abstraction by trityl cation. Addition of  $Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$  to complex 3a in  $C_6D_6$  resulted in the quantitative formation of an aluminum adduct 4a, in which Al(<sup>*i*</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub> coordinated to the amino nitrogen atom of the metallacycle of 3a and the addition of 1 equivalent of THF to the aluminum adduct 4a regenerated **3a** along with a THF adduct of  $Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$ . These experimental results suggest the formation of a cationic monoalkyl species 6 through a possible intermediate 5 with the abstraction of proton at the coordinated  $Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$  of **4a** (Scheme 2). It is likely that such a unique activation process is involved in catalytic systems of group 4 metal complexes bearing imine-based ligands upon activation by  $Al(^{i}Bu)_{3}$  and  $[Ph_{3}C][B(C_{6}F_{5})_{4}]$ , because of the detection of the imine reduction products.<sup>11–14</sup>

In conclusion, we demonstrated a new route to generate catalytically active species by treating the neutral monobenzyl titanium complex **3a** bearing an aminopyrrole ligand with  $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$  and  $Al(^iBu)_3$  as cocatalysts. Our finding extends the flexibility in design of precatalysts for olefin polymerization and has the potential to improve catalytic performance.

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas "Advanced Molecular Transformations of Carbon Resources" from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. We appreciate Prof. W.-H. Sun (Chinese Aacd. of Sci.) for his discussion.

## **References and Notes**

- For recent reviews, see: a) V. C. Gibson, S. K. Spitzmesser, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 283. b) Y. Qian, J. Huang, M. D. Bala, B. Lian, H. Zhang, H. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 2633. c) E. Y.-X. Chen, T. J. Marks, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 1391.
- 2 For recent reviews, see: a) Y. Nakayama, H. Yasuda, J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 4489. b) J. Gromada, J.-F. Carpentier, A. Mortreux, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 397.
- 3 a) D. J. Crowther, N. C. Baenziger, R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1455. b) B. Hessen, H. van der Heijden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 11670.
- 4 Some leading references: a) T. R. Younkin, E. F. Connor, J. I. Henderson, S. K. Friedrich, R. H. Grubbs, D. A. Bansleben, *Science* 2000, 287, 460. b) L. Zhang, M. Brookhart, P. S. White, *Organometallics* 2006, 25, 1868. c) J. C. Jenkins, M. Brookhart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5827. d) M. A. Zuideveld, P. Wehrmann, C. Röhr, S. Mecking, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 869. e) I. Göttker-Schnetmann, B. Korthais, S. Mecking, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7708. f) V. C. Gibson, A. Tomov, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, Chem. Commun. 2001, 719. g) R. Soula, J. P. Broyer, M. F. Llauro, A. Tomov, R. Spitz, J. Claverie, X. Drujon, J. Malinge, T. Saudemont, Macromolecules 2001, 34, 2438. h) R. Soula, C. Novat, A. Tomov, R. Spitz, J. Claverie, X. Drujon, J. Malinge, T. Saudemont, Macromolecules 2001, 34, 2022.
- 5 J. Jin, D. R. Wilson, E. Y.-X. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2002, 708.
- 6 a) W. Steffen, T. Blömker, N. Kleigrewe, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, G. Erker, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 1188. b) N. Kleigrewe, W. Steffen, T. Blömker, G. Kehr, R. Fröhlich, B. Wibbeling, G. Erker, J.-C. Wasilke, G. Wu, G. C. Bazan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 13955.
- 7 a) Z. J. A. Komon, X. Bu, G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1830. b) Z. J. A. Komon, X. Bu, G. C. Bazan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12379. c) B. Y. Lee, G. C. Bazan, J. Vela, Z. J. A. Komon, X. Bu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5352. d) Y. Chen, G. Wu, G. C. Bazan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1108.
- 8 a) M. González-Maupoey, T. Cuenca, L. M. Frutos, O. Castaño, E. Herdtweck, *Organometallics* 2003, 22, 2694. b) J. Cano, P. Royo, M. Lanfranchi, M. A. Pelinghelli, A. Tiripicchio, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 2495. c) V. Tabernero, M. C. Maestre, G. Jiménez, T. Cuenca, C. R. de Arellano, *Organometallics* 2006, 25, 1723.
- 9 Structure of complex 2 (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OCITi) is dipicted in Supporting Information, which is available electronically on the CSJ-Journal Web site, http://www.csj.jp/journals/chem-lett/index.html.
- 10 P. D. Bolton, N. Adams, E. Clot, A. R. Cowley, P. J. Wilson, M. Schröder, P. Mountford, *Organometallics* 2006, 25, 5549.
- 11 a) J. Saito, Y. Suzuki, H. Makio, H. Tanaka, M. Onda, T. Fujita, *Macromolecules* 2006, 39, 4023 and references cited therein. b) M. Lamberti, M. Consolmagno, M. Mazzeo, C. Pellecchia, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2005, 26, 1866.
- 12 We found that half-titanocene dimethyl complexes bearing an *N*-(4-methoxyphenyl)iminomethylpyrrole<sup>13</sup> showed catalytic activity for ethylene polymerization only when both of Al(<sup>i</sup>Bu)<sub>3</sub> and [Ph<sub>3</sub>C][B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>] were used as cocatalyst. The reaction of the dimethyl complex with Al(<sup>i</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>) followed by treating with H<sub>2</sub>O yielded an aminopyrrole **1**, indicating that the reduction of the imino moiety of the ligand occurred in the catalyst system.
- 13 a) H. Tsurugi, Y. Matsuo, K. Mashima, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2006, 254, 131. b) Y. Matsuo, K. Mashima, K. Tani, Chem. Lett. 2000, 1114.
  c) H. Tsurugi, T. Yamagata, K. Tani, K. Mashima, Chem. Lett. 2003, 32, 756. d) T. Yasumoto, T. Yamagata, K. Mashima, Organometallics 2005, 24, 3375.
- 14 a) Y. Yoshida, S. Matsui, Y. Takagi, M. Mitani, M. Nitabaru, T. Nakano, H. Tanaka, T. Fujita, *Chem. Lett.* 2000, 1270. b) Y. Yoshida, S. Matsui, Y. Takagi, M. Mitani, T. Nakano, H. Tanaka, N. Kashiwa, T. Fujita, *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 4793. c) Y. Yoshida, J. Saito, M. Mitani, Y. Takagi, S. Matsui, S. Ishii, T. Nakano, N. Kashiwa, T. Fujita, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 1298. d) Y. Yoshida, J. Mohri, S. Ishii, M. Mitani, J. Saito, S. Matsui, H. Makio, T. Nakano, H. Tanaka, M. Onda, Y. Yamamoto, A. Mizuno, T. Fujita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 12023. e) S. Matsui, Y. Yoshida, Y. Takagi, T. P. Spaniol, J. Okuda, J. Organometa. Chem. 2004, 689, 1155. f) S. Matsui, T. P. Spaniol, Y. Takagi, Y. Yoshida, J. Okuda, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 4529.